

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,598	05/30/2001	Domenic Cosentino	COSE3001/JEK	4955
23364	7590 10/24/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1771	,

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	09/866,598	COSENTINO, DOMENIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2005 and 10 August 2005.						
<u> </u>	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/866,598

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation "wherein the insert plate has an outer side adjacent the first surface of the main plate thereby providing access to the insert for removal from the opening" was not originally disclosed at the time the application was filed. It is further noted that on paragraph [0014] of the Specification the use of a protective coating layer 17 is disclosed and no teaching for the removal of the insert from the main plate is disclosed in the description of the invention.

Specification

3. The amendment filed June 28, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: between paragraphs [007] and [008]:

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the insert plate 13 has an outer side 20 that is adjacent to the first surface 22 of the main plate 11 thereby providing access to the insert plate 13 for removal from the opening 12.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on June 28, 2005. These drawings are not acceptable. The amendment of the drawings includes features that were not originally disclosed in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NUSSBAUM (US 4,830,892) in view of PONCHAUD et al. (US 5,604,006) and COSCIA et al. (US 4,781,952) as stated in previous action.

NUSSBAUM relates to a molding strip having an integrally formed insert with indicia. The molding strip includes an elongated plastic strip having an aperture formed therein. An insert is positioned in the aperture. (Abstract) The reference teaches that their invention can be used as fascia trim, especially for automotive vehicles. (Col. 1, lines 9-12) The reference shows a molding strip 10 that may be in the form of a substrate 18 having a metallized film 20 secured or bonded thereon. Substrate 18 can comprise polyvinylchloride or any other conventional

material suitable for the intended use of molding strip 10. Indicia 14 further include a clear or tinted transparent plastic overlayer 22. The insert 24 is positioned within an aperture formed in the molding strip. The reference teaches that the insert 24 can be fabricated from polyvinylchloride or other suitable material. (Col. 2, lines 42-68 through Col. 3, lines 1-5) NUSSBAUM further teaches that the insert member 24 have a similar size and shape to aperture 26. (Col. 3, lines 26-28)

The Examiner equates the molding strip 10 with an aperture 26 to the main plate of the present invention with an opening, and insert member 24 to the insert plate of the present invention. It is noted that the material and/or finish of the molding strip and the insert member of the reference are different.

However, the reference is silent to the use of a backing member that comprises at least one adhesive element on a surface opposite a surface upon which the main plate is mounted.

PONCHAUD et al. teaches a composite article 10 that includes a decorative insert, inlay, foil or preform 12 having an exterior surface 14 and an interior surface 15 (Fig. 3) to which is firmly secured a thermoplastic body 18. The label 16 includes a thermoplastic layer 20. The label 16 is recessed into the exterior surface 14 of the decorative insert 12, and can either be partially recessed into the decorative insert 12 or fully recessed into the decorative insert 12. (Column 2, lines 63-67 through Column 3, lines 1-25) The decorative insert 12 comprises a thin sheet of thermoplastic material and the reference further teaches that it can also comprise a thermoplastic substrate, which has a wood grain print on its exterior surface. (Column 4, lines 23-43) It is the Examiner's interpretation that the composite article taught by the reference

provides a similar product to the one taught by NUSSBAUM and provides a thermoplastic body 18 that equates to the backing member of the present invention.

However, PONCHAUD et al. fails to specifically teach the use of an adhesive element on the backing member on a surface opposite a surface upon which the main plate is mounted.

COSCIA et al. is directed to a decorative article for use in automobile surfaces such as those in the passenger compartment. (Column 1, lines 13-20) The reference teaches the use of various substrates and teaches that means for mounting may include a layer of adhesive material and teaches the use of a pressure sensitive adhesive. (Column 3, lines 10-14)

Since the references are directed to decorative articles for use in trim applications for automobiles, the purpose disclosed by COSCIA et al. and PONCHAUD et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of NUSSBAUM.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the molding strip of NUSSBAUM and provide it with a backing member (thermoplastic body 18) such as that taught by PONCHAUD et al. and further provide it with an adhesive element/layer such as pressure sensitive adhesive with the motivation of providing a means for mounting the molding strip article to the automobile surface without the use of fasteners as disclosed by COSCIA et al. (Refer to Column 1, lines 17-19 and Column 3, lines 10-14).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. Applicants argue that there is no description of the insert member in a final molding product in the Nussbaum patent as having an outer surface that enables access to the insert member for removal from the aperture.

It is the Examiner's position that Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims because the claims do not require molded product and further they don't preclude the inclusion of the transparent overlay taught by Nussbaum. Further, it is the Examiner's interpretation that the teachings of the prior art of record provide an insert plate and the main plate claimed herein and that it is possible to remove the insert from the main plate if desired. If one wanted to remove the insert plate from the structure of Nussbaum, one could remove the transparent overlay applied to seal the insert member in place and then remove the insert plate from the main plate.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1771

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

October 19, 2005